Here are my online notes for my Calculus I course that I teach here at Lamar University. Despite the fact that these are my “class notes” they should be accessible to anyone wanting to learn Calculus I or needing a refresher in some of the early topics in calculus.

I’ve tried to make these notes as self contained as possible and so all the information needed to read through them is either from an Algebra or Trig class or contained in other sections of the notes.

Here are a couple of warnings to my students who may be here to get a copy of what happened on a day that you missed.

1. Because I wanted to make this a fairly complete set of notes for anyone wanting to learn calculus I have included some material that I do not usually have time to cover in class and because this changes from semester to semester it is not noted here. You will need to find one of your fellow class mates to see if there is something in these notes that wasn’t covered in class.

2. Because I want these notes to provide some more examples for you to read through, I don’t always work the same problems in class as those given in the notes. Likewise, even if I do work some of the problems in here I may work fewer problems in class than are presented here.

3. Sometimes questions in class will lead down paths that are not covered here. I try to anticipate as many of the questions as possible when writing these up, but the reality is that I can’t anticipate all the questions. Sometimes a very good question gets asked in class that leads to insights that I’ve not included here. You should always talk to someone who was in class on the day you missed and compare these notes to their notes and see what the differences are.

4. This is somewhat related to the previous three items, but is important enough to merit its own item. THESE NOTES ARE NOT A SUBSTITUTE FOR ATTENDING CLASS!! Using these notes as a substitute for class is liable to get you in trouble. As already noted not everything in these notes is covered in class and often material or insights not in these notes is covered in class.
Computing Limits

In the previous section we saw that there is a large class of function that allows us to use
\[ \lim_{x \to a} f(x) = f(a) \]
to compute limits. However, there are also many limits for which this won’t work easily. The purpose of this section is to develop techniques for dealing with some of these limits that will not allow us to just use this fact.

Let’s first got back and take a look at one of the first limits that we looked at and compute its exact value and verify our guess for the limit.

Example 1 Evaluate the following limit.
\[ \lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x^2 + 4x - 12}{x^2 - 2x} \]

Solution
First let’s notice that if we try to plug in \( x = 2 \) we get,
\[ \lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x^2 + 4x - 12}{x^2 - 2x} = 0 \]

So, we can’t just plug in \( x = 2 \) to evaluate the limit. So, we’re going to have to do something else.

The first thing that we should always do when evaluating limits is to simplify the function as much as possible. In this case that means factoring both the numerator and denominator. Doing this gives,
\[ \lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x^2 + 4x - 12}{x^2 - 2x} = \lim_{x \to 2} \frac{(x - 2)(x + 6)}{x(x - 2)} = \lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x + 6}{x} \]

So, upon factoring we saw that we could cancel an \( x - 2 \) from both the numerator and the denominator. Upon doing this we now have a new rational expression that we can plug \( x = 2 \) into because we lost the division by zero problem. Therefore, the limit is,
\[ \lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x^2 + 4x - 12}{x^2 - 2x} = \lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x + 6}{x} = \frac{8}{2} = 4 \]

Note that this is in fact what we guessed the limit to be.

On a side note, the 0/0 we initially got in the previous example is called an indeterminate form. This means that we don’t really know what it will be until we do some more work. Typically zero in the denominator means it’s undefined. However that will only be true if the numerator isn’t also zero. Also, zero in the numerator usually means that the fraction is zero, unless the
denominator is also zero. Likewise anything divided by itself is 1, unless we’re talking about zero.

So, there are really three competing “rules” here and it’s not clear which one will win out. It’s also possible that none of them will win out and we will get something totally different from undefined, zero, or one. We might, for instance, get a value of 4 out of this, to pick a number completely at random.

There are many more kinds of indeterminate forms and we will be discussing indeterminate forms at length in the next chapter.

Let’s take a look at a couple of more examples.

**Example 2** Evaluate the following limit.

\[
\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{2(-3 + h)^2 - 18}{h}
\]

**Solution**

In this case we also get 0/0 and factoring is not really an option. However, there is still some simplification that we can do.

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{2(-3 + h)^2 - 18}{h} &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{2(9 - 6h + h^2) - 18}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{18 - 12h + 2h^2 - 18}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-12h + 2h^2}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-12 + 2h}{1} \\
&= -12
\end{align*}
\]

So, upon multiplying out the first term we get a little cancellation and now notice that we can factor an \( h \) out of both terms in the numerator which will cancel against the \( h \) in the denominator and the division by zero problem goes away and we can then evaluate the limit.

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{2(-3 + h)^2 - 18}{h} &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{-12h + 2h^2}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{h(-12 + 2h)}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} -12 + 2h = -12
\end{align*}
\]

**Example 3** Evaluate the following limit.

\[
\lim_{t \to 4} \frac{t - \sqrt{3t + 4}}{4 - t}
\]

**Solution**

This limit is going to be a little more work than the previous two. Once again however note that we get the indeterminate form 0/0 if we try to just evaluate the limit. Also note that neither of the
two examples will be of any help here, at least initially. We can’t factor and we can’t just multiply something out to get the function to simplify.

When there is a square root in the numerator or denominator we can try to rationalize and see if that helps. Recall that rationalizing makes use of the fact that

\[(a + b)(a - b) = a^2 - b^2\]

So, if either the first and/or the second term have a square root in them the rationalizing will eliminate the root(s). This might help in evaluating the limit.

Let’s try rationalizing the numerator in this case.

\[
\lim_{t \to 4} \frac{t - \sqrt{3t + 4}}{4 - t} = \lim_{t \to 4} \frac{(t - \sqrt{3t + 4})(t + \sqrt{3t + 4})}{(4 - t)(t + \sqrt{3t + 4})}
\]

Remember that to rationalize we just take the numerator (since that’s what we’re rationalizing), change the sign on the second term and multiply the numerator and denominator by this new term.

Next, we multiply the numerator out being careful to watch minus signs.

\[
\lim_{t \to 4} \frac{t - \sqrt{3t + 4}}{4 - t} = \lim_{t \to 4} \frac{t^2 - (3t + 4)}{(4 - t)(t + \sqrt{3t + 4})} = \lim_{t \to 4} \frac{t^2 - 3t - 4}{(4 - t)(t + \sqrt{3t + 4})}
\]

Notice that we didn’t multiply the denominator out as well. Most students come out of an Algebra class having it beaten into their heads to always multiply this stuff out. However, in this case multiplying out will make the problem very difficult and in the end you’ll just end up factoring it back out anyway.

At this stage we are almost done. Notice that we can factor the numerator so let’s do that.

\[
\lim_{t \to 4} \frac{t - \sqrt{3t + 4}}{4 - t} = \lim_{t \to 4} \frac{(t - 4)(t + 1)}{(4 - t)(t + \sqrt{3t + 4})}
\]

Now all we need to do is notice that if we factor a “-1” out of the first term in the denominator we can do some canceling. At that point the division by zero problem will go away and we can evaluate the limit.
\[
\lim_{{t \to 4}} \frac{t - \sqrt{3t} + 4}{4 - t} = \lim_{{t \to 4}} \frac{(t - 4)(t + 1)}{-(t - 4)(t + \sqrt{3t} + 4)} \\
= \lim_{{t \to 4}} \frac{t + 1}{-(t + \sqrt{3t} + 4)} \\
= -\frac{5}{8}
\]

Note that if we had multiplied the denominator out we would not have been able to do this canceling and in all likelihood would not have even seen that some canceling could have been done.

So, we’ve taken a look at a couple of limits in which evaluation gave the indeterminate form \(0/0\) and we now have a couple of things to try in these cases.

Let’s take a look at another kind of problem that can arise in computing some limits involving piecewise functions.

**Example 4** Given the function,

\[ g(y) = \begin{cases} 
  y^2 + 5 & \text{if } y < -2 \\
  1 - 3y & \text{if } y \geq -2 
\end{cases} \]

Compute the following limits.

(a) \( \lim_{{y \to 6}} g(y) \)  [Solution]  
(b) \( \lim_{{y \to -2}} g(y) \)  [Solution]

**Solution**

(a) \( \lim_{{y \to 6}} g(y) \)

In this case there really isn’t a whole lot to do. In doing limits recall that we must always look at what’s happening on both sides of the point in question as we move in towards it. In this case \( y = 6 \) is completely inside the second interval for the function and so there are values of \( y \) on both sides of \( y = 6 \) that are also inside this interval. This means that we can just use the fact to evaluate this limit.

\[
\lim_{{y \to 6}} g(y) = \lim_{{y \to 6}} 1 - 3y \\
= -17
\]

[Return to Problems]

(b) \( \lim_{{y \to -2}} g(y) \)

This part is the real point to this problem. In this case the point that we want to take the limit for is the cutoff point for the two intervals. In other words we can’t just plug \( y = -2 \) into the second
portion because this interval does not contain values of \( y \) to the left of \( y = -2 \) and we need to know what is happening on both sides of the point.

To do this part we are going to have to remember the fact from the section on one-sided limits that says that if the two one-sided limits exist and are the same then the normal limit will also exist and have the same value.

Notice that both of the one sided limits can be done here since we are only going to be looking at one side of the point in question. So let’s do the two one-sided limits and see what we get.

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{y \to -2^-} y^2 + 5 & \quad \text{since } y \to 2^- \text{ implies } y < -2 \\
& = 9 \\
\lim_{y \to -2^+} 1 - 3y & \quad \text{since } y \to 2^+ \text{ implies } y > -2 \\
& = 7
\end{align*}
\]

So, in this case we can see that,

\[
\lim_{y \to -2} g(y) = 9 \neq 7 = \lim_{y \to -2} g(y)
\]

and so since the two one sided limits aren’t the same

\[
\lim_{y \to -2} g(y)
\]

doesn’t exist.

Note that a very simple change to the function will make the limit at \( y = -2 \) exist so don’t get into your head that limits at these cutoff points in piecewise function don’t ever exist.

---

**Example 5** Evaluate the following limit.

\[
\lim_{y \to -2} g(y) \quad \text{where, } g(y) = \begin{cases} 
 y^2 + 5 & \text{if } y < -2 \\
 3 - 3y & \text{if } y \geq -2
\end{cases}
\]

**Solution**

The two one-sided limits this time are,

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{y \to -2^-} y^2 + 5 & \quad \text{since } y \to 2^- \text{ implies } y < -2 \\
& = 9 \\
\lim_{y \to -2^+} 3 - 3y & \quad \text{since } y \to 2^+ \text{ implies } y > -2 \\
& = 9
\end{align*}
\]

The one-sided limits are the same so we get,

\[
\lim_{y \to -2} g(y) = 9
\]
There is one more limit that we need to do. However, we will need a new fact about limits that will help us to do this.

**Fact**

If \( f(x) \leq g(x) \) for all \( x \) on \([a, b]\) (except possibly at \( x = c \)) and \( a \leq c \leq b \) then,

\[
\lim_{x \to c} f(x) \leq \lim_{x \to c} g(x)
\]

Note that this fact should make some sense to you if we assume that both functions are nice enough. If both of the functions are “nice enough” to use the limit evaluation fact then we have,

\[
\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = f(c) \leq g(c) = \lim_{x \to c} g(x)
\]

The inequality is true because we know that \( c \) is somewhere between \( a \) and \( b \) and in that range we also know \( f(x) \leq g(x) \).

Note that we don’t really need the two functions to be nice enough for the fact to be true, but it does provide a nice way to give a quick “justification” for the fact.

Also, note that we said that we assumed that \( f(x) \leq g(x) \) for all \( x \) on \([a, b]\) (except possibly at \( x = c \)). Because limits do not care what is actually happening at \( x = c \) we don’t really need the inequality to hold at that specific point. We only need it to hold around \( x = c \) since that is what the limit is concerned about.

We can take this fact one step farther to get the following theorem.

**Squeeze Theorem**

Suppose that for all \( x \) on \([a, b]\) (except possibly at \( x = c \)) we have,

\[
f(x) \leq h(x) \leq g(x)
\]

Also suppose that,

\[
\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = \lim_{x \to c} g(x) = L
\]

for some \( a \leq c \leq b \). Then,

\[
\lim_{x \to c} h(x) = L
\]

As with the previous fact we only need to know that \( f(x) \leq h(x) \leq g(x) \) is true around \( x = c \) because we are working with limits and they are only concerned with what is going on around \( x = c \) and not what is actually happening at \( x = c \).

Now, if we again assume that all three functions are nice enough (again this isn’t required to make the Squeeze Theorem true, it only helps with the visualization) then we can get a quick
sketch of what the Squeeze Theorem is telling us. The following figure illustrates what is happening in this theorem.

From the figure we can see that if the limits of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are equal at $x = c$ then the function values must also be equal at $x = c$ (this is where we’re using the fact that we assumed the functions where “nice enough”, which isn’t really required for the Theorem). However, because $h(x)$ is “squeezed” between $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ at this point then $h(x)$ must have the same value. Therefore, the limit of $h(x)$ at this point must also be the same.

The Squeeze theorem is also known as the **Sandwich Theorem** and the **Pinching Theorem**.

So, how do we use this theorem to help us with limits? Let’s take a look at the following example to see the theorem in action.

---

**Example 6** Evaluate the following limit.

$$\lim_{{x \to 0}} x^2 \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

**Solution**

In this example none of the previous examples can help us. There’s no factoring or simplifying to do. We can’t rationalize and one-sided limits won’t work. There’s even a question as to whether this limit will exist since we have division by zero inside the cosine at $x=0$.

The first thing to notice is that we know the following fact about cosine.

$$-1 \leq \cos(x) \leq 1$$

Our function doesn’t have just an $x$ in the cosine, but as long as we avoid $x = 0$ we can say the same thing for our cosine.

$$-1 \leq \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \leq 1$$

It’s okay for us to ignore $x = 0$ here because we are taking a limit and we know that limits don’t care about what’s actually going on at the point in question, $x = 0$ in this case.
Now if we have the above inequality for our cosine we can just multiply everything by an \( x^2 \) and get the following.

\[-x^2 \leq x^2 \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \leq x^2\]

In other words we’ve managed to squeeze the function that we were interested in between two other functions that are very easy to deal with. So, the limits of the two outer functions are.

\[
\lim_{x \to 0} x^2 = 0 \quad \lim_{x \to 0} (-x^2) = 0
\]

These are the same and so by the Squeeze theorem we must also have,

\[
\lim_{x \to 0} x^2 \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = 0
\]

We can verify this with the graph of the three functions. This is shown below.

In this section we’ve seen several tools that we can use to help us to compute limits in which we can’t just evaluate the function at the point in question. As we will see many of the limits that we’ll be doing in later sections will require one or more of these tools.